IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

SUMMONS FOR JUDGEMENT NO.578 OF 2004
IN
SUMMARY SUIT NO.1630 OF 2004

Sanket Petrochem Limited Plaintiff

versus

M/s.Hindustan Processors Ltd. Defendant

Mr.Dinesh P. Shah for plaintiff

Ms.S.Shrikrishna i/by S.G.Lakhani for defendant.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE : 6th July 2005

PC :

1. Mr.Shah appearing appearing for plaintiff and Ms.Shrikrisna for defendant tender consent terms. The consent terms record willingness of the defendant to submit to a decree on admission. Consent terms are signed by authorised signatories of both - plaintiff and defendant. They are present in the Court. They confirm that a decree on admission in the sum mentioned in the terms be passed and on payment thereof the decree would be marked as fully satisfied. Consequences of default are provided for inasmuch as entire

decretal amount would then become due and payable and plaintiff would be entitled to execute the decree in accordance with law. Consent terms marked "X" for identification. Order in terms of consent terms. Refund of court fees as per rules. No order as to costs. In view of order on suit, Summons for Judgement No.578 of 2004 does not survive. It accordingly stands disposed off.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)